



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/085,418	02/28/2002	Alexandra Louise Lowe	50223/USTN2/UST	4860
22847	7590 04/01/2005		EXAM	INER
SYNGENTA	A BIOTECHNOLOGY,	KATCHEVES, KONSTANTINA T		
PATENT DE 3054 CORNV	PARTMENT VALLIS ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. BOX 12257			1636	
RESEARCH	TRIANGLE PARK, NC	27709-2257	DATE MAILED: 04/01/2004	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/085,418	LOWE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Konstantina Katcheves	1636				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatif the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reption.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT y statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed or	1 <u>20 December 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□	This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection		· ·				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the call 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by		• • •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received.  uments have been received in Ap e priority documents have been re Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su					
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/92)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li></ol>		Mail Date  brown Patent Application (PTO-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-12 are pending in the present application.

## Response to Arguments

Claims 1-12 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bedbrook et al. (WO 97/01952).

Claims 1-12 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dorer et al. (Cell Vol.77 pp993-1002 1994).

Applicant has failed to address the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

Thus, these claims stand rejected and Applicant is presumed to acquiesce to these rejections.

Applicant's arguments filed 20 December 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1-12 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bedbrook et al. (WO 97/01952). In response to Bedbrook et al., Applicant argues that figure 4 of Bedbrook et al. have been misinterpreted by the examiner. Applicant argues that figure 4 does not teach each and every element of the claimed invention.

Applicant should note that claims are given their broadest reasonable interpretation in light of the specification. By way of example claim 1, as originally, filed is very broad and is drawn to a gene silencing vector having: (a) an inverted repeat; and in more specific embodiments, the vector also comprises (b) a promoter region, (c) a 5' untranslated region, (d) a

Art Unit: 1636

DNA sequence and (e) a 3' untranslated region containing a polyadenlyation signal. The inverted repeat of the vector may be in the 5' untranslated region of the construct. These claims are very broad such each of these elements have been disclosed by Bedbrook et al.

Bedbrook et al. disclose a vector for inhibiting the expression of a target gene, ACC synthase, within an organism, tomato plant. See page 5, lines 5-17. The construct of Bedbrook et al. comprises a promoter region, p35S (see element (b) above), a 5' untranslated region (see element (c) above), leader sequence of the Cab22R gene, a transcribed DNA sequence (see element (see element (d) above), a fragment of ACC synthase, and a 3' untranslated region, nos3 (see element (e) above). See Figure 4 and page 5, lines 24-33. The vector of Bedbrook et al. comprises three copies of the construct arranged as inverted repeats (see element (a) above). See page 6, lines 2-4. Three copies of the construct would encompass three copies of the 5' untranslated region.

Applicant's arguments are noted however the arguments of counsel cannot take the place of evidence in the record. *In re Schulze*, 346 F.2d 600, 602, 145 USPQ 716, 718 (CCPA 1965); *In re Geisler*, 116 F.3d 1465, 43 USPQ2d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 1997). Given that Bedbrook et al. teach each and every element of the claimed invention, the claims as originally filed are anticipated by the art of record.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-12 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dorer et al. (Cell Vol.77 pp993-1002 1994). With regard to the rejection under 35 USC 103(a) in view of Dorer et al., Applicant argues that the examiner has misinterpreted Dorer et al. Applicant

Art Unit: 1636

should note that the arguments of counsel cannot take the place of evidence in the record. *In re Schulze*, 346 F.2d 600, 602, 145 USPQ 716, 718 (CCPA 1965); *In re Geisler*, 116 F.3d 1465, 43 USPQ2d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 1997).

It is unclear to the examiner what elements of the instant invention Applicant asserts that Dorer fails to teach. Applicant apparently agrees that figure 3 shows inverted repeats, but also appears to assert that the arrows in the diagram may indicate orientation of the reading frame. Therefore, this argument is not found persuasive.

Dorer et al. teach a vector for inhibition of a target gene, mini-white, (i.e. a gene silencing vector) comprising P transposon end, a β-galactosidase gene, plasmid sequences and the mini-white gene including the 5' and 3' untranslated regions (see elements. See Figure 1. Dorer et al. show that when this vector is integrated into the genome of a transgenic Drosophila as an inverted repeat the expression of the endogenous mini-white gene is silenced. See Figure 3. One of ordinary skilled in the art would have been motivated to make and use a modified vector of Figure 1 which includes the inverted repeats of Figure 3 for gene silencing because Dorer et al. teach that inverted duplication lead to overall repression of the mini-white gene. See page 999, first column, third paragraph. It is the examiner's position that Dorer et al. teach each and every element of the claimed invention and that modification of a vector as in figure 1 to included inverted repeats which the caption of figure 3 indicates as a preferred orientation would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Konstantina Katcheves whose telephone number is (571) 272-0768. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday 7:30 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Remy Yucel, Ph.D. can be reached on (571) 272-0781. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/085,418

Art Unit: 1636

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Konstantina Katcheves Examiner Art Unit 1636

JAMES KETTER
PRIMARY EXAMINER